# *Annual Index 1994*

## AUTHORS


Andre MP, see Caroline PJ

Barlow M, Plank D, Stroud S, Henry VA, Tumosa N, Bennett ES. The Effectiveness of Typical Hand-Cleaning on Hydrogel Contact Lenses, 21(11&12):232–236
Barr JT, Testa LM. Corneal Epithelium 3 and 9 O'Clock Staining Studied with the Specular Microscope, 21(5&6): 105–111
Bassi CJ, see Hoekel JR
Begley CG, Edrington TB, Chalmers RL, Effect of Lens Care Systems on Corneal Fluorescein Staining in Hydrogel Lens Wearers, 21(1&2):7–13
Benjamin WJ: Back-Surface Hydrogel Bifocals, Part I: Featuring the ECHELON DIFFRACTIVE BIFOCAL, 21(7&8):151–153
Benjamin WJ: Back Surface Hydrogel Bifocals, Part II. Featuring the SPECTRUM BIFOCAL, 21(9&10): 199
Benjamin WJ: EOP and Dk/L, Part I: Defining the Ranges of Oxygen Transmissibility, 21(11&12):243–245
Benjamin WJ, see Land DL
Bennett ES, see Hoekel JR
Bennett ES, see Barlow M
Bilbault TJ, see Hong B
Bleshoy H, Guillon M, Shah D. Influence of Contact Lens Material on Replacement Frequency, 21(5&6): 82–95
Bois A, see Brazeau D
Boost M, Conway R, Shan CT, Sim E, Sim NY. The Antimicrobial Effectiveness of a Mechanical Soft Lens Cleaning Device, 21(7&8):137–142
Brazeau D, Bois A, Gresset J: Spherical Versus Aspherical Soft Contact Lenses for Low Astigmats, 21(3&4):39–44
Briggs ST, see Oriowo OM
Bruce AS. Influence of Corneal Topography on Centration and Movement of Low Water Content Soft Contact Lenses, 21(3&4):45–49
Bruce AS, see Collins M

Calossi A, Casalbomi F, Zanella SG. Same PMMA Contact Lenses Worn for Over 30 Years: A Case Report, 21(9&10):196
Carkeet N, see Mountford J
Carney L, see Mountford J
Caroline PJ, Andre MP, Norman CW. Corneal Topography and Computerized Contact Lens Fitting Modules, 21(9&10):185
Casalbomi F, see Calossi A
Chalmers RL, see Begley CG
Cheng D, see Woo GC
Chow E, see Woo GC
Chowhan MA, see Hong B
Collins M, Bruce AS, Thompson B. Adaptation to Monovision, 21(11&12):218–224
Conway R, see Boost M

Daum KM, see Snyder C
Doughty MJ, see Potvin RJ

Edrington TB, see Begley CG

Fatt I, Mueller TD. Application of Guard Ring Technology to the Measurement of Oxygen Permeability of Contact Lens Materials by the Polarographic Method, 21(5&6):96–104
Fatt I, Ruben CM. The Point-to-Point Variation of Oxygen Delivery to a Cornea Covered by a Hydrogel Contact Lens, 21(3&4):50–56
Fink BA, see Szczotka LB
Fonn D, see Potvin RJ
Fontana FD, see Mertz GW

Ghormley NR. The True "Disposable" Contact Lens: One-Day ACUVUE and the OCCASIONS Single-Use Lens, 21(1&2):5–6
Ghormley NR. ALOMIDE®: A New Mast Cell Stabilizer (Overview), 21(3&4):37–38
Ghormley NR. QUICK CARE™-A New Soft Lens Disinfection System (Overview), 21(5&6):77–78
Ghormley NR. Gold Medalist Toric-A Planned Lens Replacement Soft Toric Lens (Overview), 21(7&8):125–126
Ghormley NR. FreshLook™ Disposable Contact Lenses, 21(9&10):161
Ghormley NR. One Day AcuVue/Practice Management, 21(11&12):209–211
Goldberg JB: RGP Lens Adherence: Flexure or Tear Film Thinning-Can We Define the Cause?, 21(1&2):26–29
Gresset J, see Brazeau D
Guillon M, see Bleshoy H

Hammack GG, see Snyder C
Harris MG, see Lester SF
Henry VA, see Hoekel JR
Henry VA, see Barlow M
Hill RM: Environmental Challenges: Do Contact Lenses Reduce Tear Resistance?, 21(1&2):30–31
Hill RM: How Dk Relates to Relief of Hypoxic Stress, 21(3&4):67–68
Hill RM, see Szczotka LB
Hill RM, When Old Pumps Fade Away, 21(7&8): 154–155
Hoekel JR, Maydew TO, Bassi CJ, Bennett ES, Henry VA. An Evaluation of the 8.4 mm and the 8.8 mm Base Curve Radius in the Ciba Newvue vs. the Vistakon Acuvue, 21(1&2):14–18
Hong B, Bilbault TJ, Chowhan MA, Keith DJ, Quintana RP, Turner FD, Van Duzee BF. Cleaning Capability of Citrate-Containing vs. Non-Citrate Contact Lens Cleaning Solutions: An In Vivo Comparative Study, 21(11&12):237–242

Keith DJ, see Hong B
Keller S, see Lester SF
Klein P, see Mertz GW
Klyce SD, see Maeda N

Land DL, Benjamin WJ. Sizes and Shapes of Conjunctival Inserts, 21(11&12):212–217
Larsen D, see Lester SF
Lester SF, Harris MG, Keller S, Larsen D. Clinical Applications of Corneal Topography, 21(9&10):170–174
Lowther GE: RGP Contact Lens Quality (Commentary), 21(3&4):36
Lowther GE: Why Disposable and Frequent Replacement, and How Often? (Commentary), 21(5&6):76
Lowther GE: Commentary-Comments on Some Interesting Articles in This Issue, 21(7&8):124
Lowther GE: Commentary-Computerized Videokeratography: Just Pretty Pictures or a Valuable Clinical Tool? 21(9&10):160
Lowther GE, Some Changes at ICLC and Some Thank You's, 21(11&12): 208
Lowther GE, see Pole J

Maeda N, Klyce SD. Videokeratography in Contact Lens Practice, 21(9&10): 163
Maydew TO, see Hoekel JR



© 1994 Butterworth–Heinemann

# *Annual Index 1994*

## AUTHORS


Andre MP, see Caroline PJ

Barlow M, Plank D, Stroud S, Henry VA, Tumosa N, Bennett ES. The Effectiveness of Typical Hand-Cleaning on Hydrogel Contact Lenses, 21(11&12):232–236
Barr JT, Testa LM. Corneal Epithelium 3 and 9 O'Clock Staining Studied with the Specular Microscope, 21(5&6): 105–111
Bassi CJ, see Hoekel JR
Begley CG, Edrington TB, Chalmers RL, Effect of Lens Care Systems on Corneal Fluorescein Staining in Hydrogel Lens Wearers, 21(1&2):7–13
Benjamin WJ: Back-Surface Hydrogel Bifocals, Part I: Featuring the ECHELON DIFFRACTIVE BIFOCAL, 21(7&8):151–153
Benjamin WJ: Back Surface Hydrogel Bifocals, Part II. Featuring the SPECTRUM BIFOCAL, 21(9&10): 199
Benjamin WJ: EOP and Dk/L, Part I: Defining the Ranges of Oxygen Transmissibility, 21(11&12):243–245
Benjamin WJ, see Land DL
Bennett ES, see Hoekel JR
Bennett ES, see Barlow M
Bilbault TJ, see Hong B
Bleshoy H, Guillon M, Shah D. Influence of Contact Lens Material on Replacement Frequency, 21(5&6): 82–95
Bois A, see Brazeau D
Boost M, Conway R, Shan CT, Sim E, Sim NY. The Antimicrobial Effectiveness of a Mechanical Soft Lens Cleaning Device, 21(7&8):137–142
Brazeau D, Bois A, Gresset J: Spherical Versus Aspherical Soft Contact Lenses for Low Astigmats, 21(3&4):39–44
Briggs ST, see Oriowo OM
Bruce AS. Influence of Corneal Topography on Centration and Movement of Low Water Content Soft Contact Lenses, 21(3&4):45–49
Bruce AS, see Collins M

Calossi A, Casalbomi F, Zanella SG. Same PMMA Contact Lenses Worn for Over 30 Years: A Case Report, 21(9&10):196
Carkeet N, see Mountford J
Carney L, see Mountford J
Caroline PJ, Andre MP, Norman CW. Corneal Topography and Computerized Contact Lens Fitting Modules, 21(9&10):185
Casalbomi F, see Calossi A
Chalmers RL, see Begley CG
Cheng D, see Woo GC
Chow E, see Woo GC
Chowhan MA, see Hong B
Collins M, Bruce AS, Thompson B. Adaptation to Monovision, 21(11&12):218–224
Conway R, see Boost M

Daum KM, see Snyder C
Doughty MJ, see Potvin RJ

Edrington TB, see Begley CG

Fatt I, Mueller TD. Application of Guard Ring Technology to the Measurement of Oxygen Permeability of Contact Lens Materials by the Polarographic Method, 21(5&6):96–104
Fatt I, Ruben CM. The Point-to-Point Variation of Oxygen Delivery to a Cornea Covered by a Hydrogel Contact Lens, 21(3&4):50–56
Fink BA, see Szczotka LB
Fonn D, see Potvin RJ
Fontana FD, see Mertz GW

Ghormley NR. The True "Disposable" Contact Lens: One-Day ACUVUE and the OCCASIONS Single-Use Lens, 21(1&2):5–6
Ghormley NR. ALOMIDE®: A New Mast Cell Stabilizer (Overview), 21(3&4):37–38
Ghormley NR. QUICK CARE™-A New Soft Lens Disinfection System (Overview), 21(5&6):77–78
Ghormley NR. Gold Medalist Toric-A Planned Lens Replacement Soft Toric Lens (Overview), 21(7&8):125–126
Ghormley NR. FreshLook™ Disposable Contact Lenses, 21(9&10):161
Ghormley NR. One Day AcuVue/Practice Management, 21(11&12):209–211
Goldberg JB: RGP Lens Adherence: Flexure or Tear Film Thinning-Can We Define the Cause?, 21(1&2):26–29
Gresset J, see Brazeau D
Guillon M, see Bleshoy H

Hammack GG, see Snyder C
Harris MG, see Lester SF
Henry VA, see Hoekel JR
Henry VA, see Barlow M
Hill RM: Environmental Challenges: Do Contact Lenses Reduce Tear Resistance?, 21(1&2):30–31
Hill RM: How Dk Relates to Relief of Hypoxic Stress, 21(3&4):67–68
Hill RM, see Szczotka LB
Hill RM, When Old Pumps Fade Away, 21(7&8): 154–155
Hoekel JR, Maydew TO, Bassi CJ, Bennett ES, Henry VA. An Evaluation of the 8.4 mm and the 8.8 mm Base Curve Radius in the Ciba Newvue vs. the Vistakon Acuvue, 21(1&2):14–18
Hong B, Bilbault TJ, Chowhan MA, Keith DJ, Quintana RP, Turner FD, Van Duzee BF. Cleaning Capability of Citrate-Containing vs. Non-Citrate Contact Lens Cleaning Solutions: An In Vivo Comparative Study, 21(11&12):237–242

Keith DJ, see Hong B
Keller S, see Lester SF
Klein P, see Mertz GW
Klyce SD, see Maeda N

Land DL, Benjamin WJ. Sizes and Shapes of Conjunctival Inserts, 21(11&12):212–217
Larsen D, see Lester SF
Lester SF, Harris MG, Keller S, Larsen D. Clinical Applications of Corneal Topography, 21(9&10):170–174
Lowther GE: RGP Contact Lens Quality (Commentary), 21(3&4):36
Lowther GE: Why Disposable and Frequent Replacement, and How Often? (Commentary), 21(5&6):76
Lowther GE: Commentary-Comments on Some Interesting Articles in This Issue, 21(7&8):124
Lowther GE: Commentary-Computerized Videokeratography: Just Pretty Pictures or a Valuable Clinical Tool? 21(9&10):160
Lowther GE, Some Changes at ICLC and Some Thank You's, 21(11&12): 208
Lowther GE, see Pole J

Maeda N, Klyce SD. Videokeratography in Contact Lens Practice, 21(9&10): 163
Maydew TO, see Hoekel JR



© 1994 Butterworth–Heinemann

Mertz GW, Nason RJ, Rigel LE, Fontana FD, Snyder RP, Klein P. Monovision with Disposable and Daily Wear Two Week Replacement Contact Lenses, 21(3&4):57–66
Michaud L. Corneal Distortion Caused by a Hydrogel Contact Lens Deposit, 21(5&6):117–118
Mountford J, Carkeet N, Carney L. Corneal Thickness Changes During Scleral Lens Wear: Effect of Gas Permeability, 21(1&2):19–23:
Mueller TD, see Fatt I

Nason RJ, see Mertz GW
Norman CW, see Caroline PJ

Oriowo OM, Briggs ST. CL Management of Pellucid Marginal Corneal Degeneration in a Saudi-Arabia Teaching Hospital: A Case Report, 21(7&8):147–150

Plank D, see Barlow M
Pole J, Lowther GE. Comparison of Simulated K's as Measured by Computerized Videokeratographers to Keratometer Measurements, 21(9&10): 180
Potvin RJ, Doughty MJ, Fonn D. Tarsal Conjunctival Morphometry of Asymptomatic Soft Contact Lens Wearers and Non-Lens Wearers, 21(11&12):225–231

Quintana RP, see Hong B

Rigel LE, see Mertz GW
Ruben CM, see Fatt I

Scheid T. Keratoconic Rigid Lens Residual Astigmatism: Treatment and Management, 21(1&2):23–25
Shah D, see Bleshoy H
Shan CT, see Boost M
Sim E, see Boost M
Sim NY, see Boost M
Synder C, Hammack GG. Disposable Hydrogel Lens Quality: Commentary and Clinical Report (Commentary), 21(5&6):79–81
Snyder RP, see Mertz GW
Snyder C, Wiggins NP, Daum KM. Visual Performance in the Correction of Astigmatism with Contact Lenses: Spherical RGP's Versus Toric Hydrogels, 21(7&8):127–131
Stroud S, see Barlow M
Szczotka LB, Fink BA, Hill RM. Effects of Prism Ballasting on Rigid Contact Lenses on Human Corneal Oxygen Uptake Rates. Part II: Closed Eye Conditions, 21(5&6):112–116
Szczotka LB. Corneal Topography for Residual Astigmatism Prediction in Computer Assisted Rigid Contact Lens Fitting, 21(9&10):175–179

Testa LM, see Barr JT
Thompson B, see Collins M
Tumosa N, see Barlow M
Turner FD, see Hong B

Van Duzee BF, see Hong B

Wiggins NP, see Snyder C
Winkler TD. Case Report: Successful Incorporation of Base-In Prism in a Contact Lens Design, 21(7&8):143–146
Woo GC, Chow E, Cheng D, Woo S. A Study of the Central and Peripheral Refractive Power of the Cornea With Orthokeratology Treatment, 21(7&8): 132–136
Woo S, see Woo GC

Zanella SG, see Calossi A

## SUBJECT

### Aftercare

Barr JT, Testa LM. Corneal Epithelium 3 and 9 O'Clock Staining Studied with the Specular Microscope, 21(5&6): 105–111
Begley CG, Edrington TB, Chalmers RL, Effect of Lens Care Systems on Corneal Fluorescein Staining in Hydrogel Lens Wearers, 21(1&2):7–13
Ghormley NR. ALOMIDE®:A New Mast Cell Stabilizer (Overview), 21(3&4):37–38

### Astigmatism

Brazeau D, Bois A, Gresset J: Spherical Versus Aspherical Soft Contact Lenses for Low Astigmats, 21(3&4):29–44
Pole J, Lowther GE. Comparison of Simulated K's as Measured by Computerized Videokeratographers to Keratometer Measurements, 21(9&10): 180
Scheid T. Keratoconic Rigid Lens Residual Astigmatism: Treatment and Management, 21(1&2):23–25
Snyder C, Wiggins NP, Daum KM. Visual Performance in the Correction of Astigmatism with Contact Lenses: Spherical RGP's Versus Toric Hydrogels, 21(7&8):127–131
Szczotka LB. Corneal Topography for Residual Astigmatism Prediction in Computer Assisted Rigid Contact Lens Fitting, 21(9&10):175–179

### Bifocals (Presbyopic Correction)

Benjamin WJ: Back-Surface Hydrogel Bifocals, Part I: Featuring the ECHELON DIFFRACTIVE BIFOCAL, 21(7&8): 151–153
Benjamin WJ: Back Surface Hydrogel Bifocals, Part II. Featuring the SPECTRUM BIFOCAL, 21(9&10): 199
Collins M, Bruce AS, Thompson B. Adaptation to Monovision, 21(11&12):218–224
Mertz GW, Nason RJ, Rigel LE, Fontana FD, Snyder RP, Klein P. Monovision with Disposable and Daily Wear Two Week Replacement Contact Lenses, 21(3&4):57–66

### Care Systems

Begley CG, Edrington TB, Chalmers RL, Effect of Lens Care Systems on Corneal Fluorescein Staining in Hydrogel Lens Wearers, 21(1&2):7–13
Boost M, Conway R, Shan CT, Sim E, Sim NY. The Antimicrobial Effectiveness of a Mechanical Soft Lens Cleaning Device, 21(7&8):137–142
Ghormley NR. QUICK CARE™-A New Soft Lens Disinfection System (Overview), 21(5&6):77–78
Hong B, Bilbault TJ, Chowhan MA, Keith DJ, Quintana RP, Turner FD, Van Duzee BF. Cleaning Capability of Citrate-Containing vs. Non-Citrate Contact Lens Cleaning Solutions: An In Vivo Comparative Study, 21(11&12):237–242

### Communication

Ghormley NR. One Day AcuVue/Practice Management, 21(11&12):209–211

### Conjunctiva

Potvin RJ, Doughty MJ, Fonn D. Tarsal Conjunctival Morphometry of Asymptomatic Soft Contact Lens Wearers and Non-Lens Wearers, 21(11&12):225–231

### Conjunctival Inserts

Land DL, Benjamin WJ. Sizes and Shapes of Conjunctival Inserts, 21(11&12):212–217

### Deposits

Bleshoy H, Guillon M, Shah D. Influence of Contact Lens Material on

Replacement Frequency, 21(5&6): 82–95
Hong B, Bilbault TJ, Chowhan MA, Keith DJ, Quintana RP, Turner FD, Van Duzee BF. Cleaning Capability of Citrate-Containing vs. Non-Citrate Contact Lens Cleaning Solutions: An In Vivo Comparative Study, 21(11&12):237–242
Michaud L. Corneal Distortion Caused by a Hydrogel Contact Lens Deposit, 21(5&6):117–118

## Disposable/Frequent Replacement Contact Lenses

Bleshoy H, Guillon M, Shah D. Influence of Contact Lens Material on Replacement Frequency, 21(5&6): 82–95
Ghormley NR. The True "Disposable" Contact Lens: One-Day ACUVUE and the OCCASIONS Single-Use Lens, 21(1&2):5–6
Ghormley NR. Gold Medalist Toric-A Planned Lens Replacement Soft Toric Lens (Overview), 21(7&8):125–126
Ghormley NR. FreshLook™ Disposable Contact Lenses, 21(9&10):161
Ghormley NR. One Day AcuVue/Practice Management, 21(11&12):209–211
Hoekel JR, Maydew TO, Bassi CJ, Bennett ES, Henry VA. An Evaluation of the 8.4 mm and the 8.8 mm Base Curve Radius in the Ciba Newvue vs. the Vistakon Acuvue, 21(1&2):14–18
Mertz GW, Nason RJ, Rigel LE, Fontana FD, Snyder RP, Klein P. Monovision with Disposable and Daily Wear Two Week Replacement Contact Lenses, 21(3&4):57–66
Snyder C, Hammack GG. Disposable Hydrogel Lens Quality: Commentary and Clinical Report (Commentary), 21(5&6):79–81.

## Edema

Mountford J, Carkeet N, Carney L. Corneal Thickness Changes During Scleral Lens Wear: Effect of Gas Permeability, 21(1&2):19–23

## Epithelium

Barr JT, Testa LM. Corneal Epithelium 3 and 9 O'Clock Staining Studied with the Specular Microscope, 21(5&6): 105–111
Begley CG, Edrington TB, Chalmers RL, Effect of Lens Care Systems on Corneal Fluorescein Staining in Hydrogel Lens Wearers, 21 (1&2): 7–13

## Fitting

Brazeau D, Bois A, Gresset J: Spherical Versus Aspherical Soft Contact Lenses for Low Astigmats, 21(3&4):39–44
Bruce AS. Influence of Corneal Topography on Centration and Movement of Low Water Content Soft Contact Lenses, 21(3&4):45–49
Caroline PJ, Andre MP, Norman CW. Corneal Topography and Computerized Contact Lens Fitting Modules, 21(9&10):185
Hoekel JR, Maydew TO, Bassi CJ, Bennett ES, Henry VA. An Evaluation of the 8.4 mm and the 8.8 mm Base Curve Radius in the Ciba Newvue vs. the Vistakon Acuvue, 21(1&2):14–18
Lester SF, Harris MG, Keller S, Larsen D. Clinical Applications of Corneal Topography, 21 (9&10):170–174
Maeda N, Klyce SD. Videokeratography in Contact Lens Practice, 21(9&10): 163

## GPC

Ghormley NR. ALOMIDE®: A New Mast Cell Stabilizer (Overview), 21(3&4):37–38
Potvin RJ, Doughty MJ, Fonn D. Tarsal Conjunctival Morphometry of Asymptomatic Soft Contact Lens Wearers and Non-Lens Wearers, 21(11&12):225–231

## Hydrogel Contact Lenses

Begley CG, Edrington TB, Chalmers RL, Effect of Lens Care Systems on Corneal Fluorescein Staining in Hydrogel Lens Wearers, 21(1&2):7–13
Bleshoy H, Guillon M, Shah D. Influence of Contact Lens Material on Replacement Frequency, 21(5&6): 82–95
Boost M, Conway R, Shan CT, Sim E, Sim NY. The Antimicrobial Effectiveness of a Mechanical Soft Lens Cleaning Device, 21(7&8):137–142
Brazeau D, Bois A, Gresset J: Spherical Versus Aspherical Soft Contact Lenses for Low Astigmats, 21(3&4):39–44
Bruce AS. Influence of Corneal Topography on Centration and Movement of Low Water Content Soft Contact Lenses, 21(3&4):45–49
Hoekel JR, Maydew TO, Bassi CJ, Bennett ES, Henry VA. An Evaluation of the 8.4 mm and the 8.8 mm Base Curve Radius in the Ciba Newvue vs. the Vistakon Acuvue, 21(1&2):14–18
Michaud L. Corneal Distortion Caused by a Hydrogel Contact Lens Deposit, 21(5&6):117–118
Potvin RJ, Doughty MJ, Fonn D. Tarsal Conjunctival Morphometry of Asymptomatic Soft Contact Lens Wearers and Non-Lens Wearers, 21(11&12):225–231

## Inspection

Lowther GE: RGP Contact Lens Quality (Commentary), 21(3&4):36

## Keratoconus

Scheid T. Keratoconic Rigid Lens Residual Astigmatism: Treatment and Management, 21(1&2):23–25

## Microbiology

Barlow M, Plank D, Stroud S, Henry VA, Tumosa N, Bennett ES. The Effectiveness of Typical Hand-Cleaning on Hydrogel Contact Lenses, 21(11&12):232–236
Boost M, Conway R, Shan CT, Sim E, Sim NY. The Antimicrobial Effectiveness of a Mechanical Soft Lens Cleaning Device, 21(7&8):137–142

## Monovision

Collins M, Bruce AS, Thompson B. Adaptation to Monovision, 21(11&12):218–224
Mertz GW, Nason RJ, Rigel LE, Fontana FD, Synder RP, Klein P. Monovision with Disposable and Daily Wear Two Week Replacement Contact Lenses, 21(3&4):57–66

## Ocular Disease

Oriowo OM, Briggs ST. CL Management of Pellucid Marginal Corneal Degeneration in a Saudi-Arabia Teaching Hospital: A Case Report, 21(7&8):147–150

## Orthokeratology

Woo GC, Chow E, Cheng D, Woo S. A Study of the Central and Peripheral Refractive Power of the Cornea With Orthokeratology Treatment, 21(7&8): 132–136

## Oxygen

Benjamin WJ: EOP and Dk/L, Part I: Defining the Ranges of Oxygen Transmissibility, 21(11&12):243–245
Fatt I, Mueller TD. Application of Guard Ring Technology to the Measurement of Oxygen Permeability of Contact Lens Materials by the Polarographic Method, 21(5&6):96–104
Fatt I, Ruben CM. The Point-to-Point Variation of Oxygen Delivery to a

Cornea Covered by a Hydrogel Contact Lens, 21(3&4):50–56
Hill RM: How Dk Relates to Relief of Hypoxic Stress, 21(3&4):67–68
Mountford J, Carkeet N, Carney L. Corneal Thickness Changes During Scleral Lens Wear: Effect of Gas Permeability, 21(1&2):19–23
Szczotka LB, Fink BA, Hill RM. Effects of Prism Ballasting on Rigid Contact Lenses on Human Corneal Oxygen Uptake Rates. Part II: Closed Eye Conditions, 21(5&6):112–116

## PMMA Lenses

Calossi A, Casalbomi F, Zanella SG. Same PMMA Contact Lenses Worn for Over 30 Years: A Case Report, 21(9&10):196

## Prism

Winkler TD. Case Report: Successful Incorporation of Base-In Prism in a Contact Lens Design, 21(7&8):143–146

## Rigid Gas Permeable Contact Lenses

Barr JT, Testa LM. Corneal Epithelium 3 and 9 O'Clock Staining Studied with the Specular Microscope, 21(5&6): 105–111
Caroline PJ, Andre MP, Norman CW. Corneal Topography and Computerized Contact Lens Fitting Modules, 21(9&10):185
Goldberg JB: RGP Lens Adherence: Flexure or Tear Film Thinning-Can We Define the Cause?, 21(1&2):26–29
Lester SF, Harris MG, Keller S, Larsen D. Clinical Applications of Corneal Topography, 21(9&10):170–174
Lowther GE: RGP Contact Lens Quality (Commentary), 21(3&4):36
Snyder C, Wiggins NP, Daum KM. Visual Performance in the Correction of Astigmatism with Contact Lenses: Spherical RGP's Versus Toric Hydrogels, 21(7&8):127–131
Szczotka LB, Fink BA, Hill RM. Effects of Prism Ballasting on Rigid Contact Lenses on Human Corneal Oxygen Uptake Rates. Part II: Closed Eye Conditions, 21(5&6):112–116
Szczotka LB. Corneal Topography for Residual Astigmatism Prediction in Computer Assisted Rigid Contact Lens Fitting, 21(9&10):175–179

## Scleral Lenses

Mountford J, Carkeet N, Carney L. Corneal Thickness Changes During Scleral Lens Wear: Effect of Gas Permeability, 21(1&2):19–23

## Tears

Goldberg JB: RGP Lens Adherence: Flexure or Tear Film Thinning-Can We Define the Cause?, 21(1&2):26–29
Hill RM: Environmental Challenges: Do Contact Lenses Reduce Tear Resistance?, 21(1&2):30–31

## Topography

Bruce AS. Influence of Corneal Topography on Centration and Movement of Low Water Content Soft Contact Lenses, 21(3&4):45–49
Calossi A, Casalbomi F, Zanella SG. Same PMMA Contact Lenses Worn for Over 30 Years: A Case Report, 21(9&10):196
Caroline PJ, Andre MP, Norman CW. Corneal Topography and Computerized Contact Lens Fitting Modules, 21(9&10):185
Lester SF, Harris MG, Keller S, Larsen D. Clinical Applications of Corneal Topography, 21(9&10):170–174
Lowther GE: Commentary-Computerized Videokeratography: Just Pretty Pictures or a Valuable Clinical Tool? 21(9&10):160
Maeda N, Klyce SD. Videokeratography in Contact Lens Practice, 21(9&10): 163
Michaud L. Corneal Distortion Caused by a Hydrogel Contact Lens Deposit, 21(5&6):117–118
Pole J, Lowther GE. Comparison of Simulated K's as Measured by Computerized Videokeratographers to Keratometer Measurements, 21(9&10): 180
Szczotka LB. Corneal Topography for Residual Astigmatism Prediction in Computer Assisted Rigid Contact Lens Fitting, 21(9&10):175–179

## Toric Lenses

Ghormley NR. Gold Medalist Toric-A Planned Lens Replacement Soft Toric Lens (Overview), 21(7&8):125–126
Snyder C, Wiggins NP, Daum KM. Visual Performance in the Correction of Astigmatism with Contact Lenses: Spherical RGP's Versus Toric Hydrogels, 21(7&8):127–131

## Visual Performance

Hoekel JR, Maydew TO, Bassi CJ, Bennett ES, Henry VA. An Evaluation of the 8.4 mm and the 8.8 mm Base Curve Radius in the Ciba Newvue vs. the Vistakon Acuvue, 21(1&2):14–18
Snyder C, Wiggins NP, Daum KM. Visual Performance in the Correction of Astigmatism with Contact Lenses: Spherical RGP's Versus Toric Hydrogels, 21(7&8):127–131



Statement of Ownership, Management and Circulation

INTERNATIONAL CONTACT LENS CLINIC

0 8 9 2 8 9 6 7 | 10/1, 1, 1994

Bimonthly | Six | $125.00

113 Washington St., Newton, (Middlesex County) MA 02158-1626

Butterworth-Heinemann, 313 Washington St., Newton, MA 02158-1626

Rita S. Rennel, Butterworth-Heinemann, 313 Washington St., Newton, MA 02158-1626

Gerald Lowther, O.D.
School of Optometry, Indiana University, 800 E. Atwater, Bloomington, IN 47405

Rita S. Rennel, Butterworth-Heinemann, 313 Washington St., Newton, MA 02158-1626

| Full Name | Complete Mailing Address |
|---|---|
| Butterworth-Heinemann | 313 Washington St. Newton, MA 02158-1626 |
| A wholly owned division of Reed-Elsevier | 225 Washington St. Newton, MA 02158-1626 |

| Full Name | Complete Mailing Address |
|---|---|
| NONE | N/A |

| | Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months | Actual No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date |
|---|---|---|
| A. Total No. Copies (Net Press Run) | 1400 | 1300 |
| B. Paid and/or Requested Circulation: 1. Sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors and counter sales | N/A | N/A |
| 2. Mail Subscription (Paid and/or requested) | 1043 | 920 |
| C. Total Paid and/or Requested Circulation (sum of 15B and 15C) | 1043 | 920 |
| D. Free Distribution by Mail, Carrier or other Means. Samples, Complimentary, and Other Free Copies | 51 | 68 |
| E. Total Distribution (Sum of C and D) | 1126 | 988 |
| F. Copies Not Distributed: 1. Office Use, Left Over, Unaccounted, Spoiled After Printing | 274 | 312 |
| 2. Return from News Agents | N/A | N/A |
| G. TOTAL (Sum of E, F1 and 2 - should equal net press run shown in A) | 1400 | 1300 |

I certify that the statements made by me above are correct and complete.

Rita S. Rennel
Publisher